

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·	•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,715	07/20/2001	Brian J. Cox	MCRVT-057A	1492	
7590 07/12/2007 Stout, Uxa, Buyan & Mullins, LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 300			EREZO, DARWIN P		
4 Venture Irvine, CA 926	518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, 0.1720			3731	1	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		·	MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
			07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
			COX, BRIAN J.	COX, BRIAN J.	
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	1	
		Darwin P. Erezo	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence as	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING D provisions of 37 CFR 1. this communication. aximum statutory period d for reply will, by statut e months after the mailir	NATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status			,		
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>16 A</u>	April 2007.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in co	ndition for allowa	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the	e practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-47,54-56,59-61 a</u>	a <u>nd 64-100</u> is/are	e pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>59,</u>	70-75,78-80 and	86 is/are withdrawn fron	n consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	d.			٠	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Si</u>	<u>heet</u> are subject	to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected t	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on					
Applicant may not request that a	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	-	•	•		
11) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attacr	ied Oπice Action or form P	10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor	_	n priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1.☐ Certified copies of the		ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the			Application No		
	-		en received in this Nationa	l Stage	
application from the Int	ernational Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list	t of the certified copies n	ot received.		
·					
Attachment(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 44-47,54-56,60,61,64-69,76,77,81-85 and 87-100.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 44-47, 54-56, 60, 61, 64-69, 76, 77, 87-94 drawn to an implantable device, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
 - II. Claims 81-85 and 95-100 drawn to a method of treating a vascular aneurysm, classified in class 606, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed is not limited for use in treating aneurysm. The product can be used to increase the diameter of a flow constricted vessel, or it can also be used to join two tubular segments together.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 09/909,715

Art Unit: 3731

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, as shown in Fig. 5b, is directed towards an interwoven stent having a cylindrical body.

Species II, as shown in Fig. 14, is directed towards a helical stent (thus not having a cylindrical body).

Species III, as shown in Fig. 18, is directed towards a reticulated stent having a cylindrical body.

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive, they are not obvious variants, and have materially different design.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Examiner Art Unit 3731

de